Your ref: TR010062

[redacted]
Head of Planning Casework
Transport Infrastructure Planning Unit
Department for Transport
Zone 1/14 – 18
Great Minster House
33 Horseferry Road
London
SW1P 4DR

[redacted]
Senior Project Manager
A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Project
National Highways
100 Embankment
Cathedral Approach
Salford
M3 7FB
Tel: 0300 123 5000

21 August 2026

Dear [redacted],

The A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Development Consent Order 2024 (SI 2024 No. 360) and the A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Development Consent (Correction) Order 2025 (SI 2025 No. 1084) as amended by The A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Development Consent (Amendment) Order 2026 (SI 2026 No. 422) – Application for Proposed Non-Material Change

The Infrastructure Planning (Changes to, and Revocation of, Development Consent Orders) Regulations 2011: Regulation 7(3) – request for exemption from consulting certain persons on the above application

On 21 June 2022, National Highways ('the Applicant') submitted an application for a development consent order (DCO) for the A66 Northern Trans-Pennine project. The A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Development Consent Order 2024 (SI 2024 No.360) (the Order) was subsequently made by the Secretary of State for Transport on 7 March 2024. Documents relating to the decision stage can be found on the Planning Inspectorate's national infrastructure website. A Non-Material Change Application relating to eight Proposed Changes in Schemes 01 to 05 of the Project was submitted to the Secretary of State on 10 December 2025 and approved on 15 April 2026.

National Highways intends to make an application for a further non-material amendment to the Order. The application will seek authorisation to make the following Proposed Changes, located in Scheme 09, to the Project:

Proposed Change 1 – Collier Lane

Collier Lane is a local road passing through West Layton on a north-south axis, connecting to the A66 south of West Layton. As the alignment of the new A66 will cut across the bottom of Collier Lane, the Order authorises the stopping up of a section of Collier Lane, the provision of a junction between Collier Lane and a section of the new

de-trunk A66 and the provision of an overbridge crossing the new A66 to connect Collier Lane to the de-trunked A66.

The Proposed Change comprises the replacement of the junction connecting Collier Lane and the de-trunked A66, as shown on Sheet 2 of the work plans for Scheme 09 and authorised under Work No. 09-5, with a roundabout. This would also result in the realigning of the de-trunked A66, authorised under Work No. 09-3B, to the north, outside of its Limits of Deviation (LoD) of 3 metres either side of the centreline. The new footpath and equestrian track authorised under Work No. 09-6 will be required to be realigned from the north side to the south side of the de-trunked A66 to accommodate the roundabout and re-aligned de-trunked A66.

Proposed Change 1 (Collier Lane) therefore comprises the replacement of a junction with a roundabout in Work No, 09-5 and the re-alignment of the centreline for Work Nos. 09-3B and 09-6. The current LoD (as stated above) would then apply to the realigned centrelines for those numbered works.

Proposed Change 2 – Mains Gill Junction Bridleway

The Order authorises the construction of a new junction at Mains Gill to connect the dualled A66 to Moor Lane and the de-trunked A66 to the south of East Layton. The Order also authorises an equestrian route from Moor Lane to the southside of the de-trunked A66 through crossing facilities on both the east and westbound connector roads of the dualled A66 at Mains Gill Junction. A diagonal equestrian route provides a connection between the equestrian route across Mains Gill Junction from the eastbound connector road to the north side of the de-trunked A66.

Currently, the equestrian track runs adjacent to the de-trunked A66 before heading northeast and crossing the A66 westbound connector road (Work No. 09-08B), followed by crossing the eastbound connector road (Work No. 09-8A).

The Proposed Change comprises the repositioning of the bridleway at Mains Gill Junction. This change will reduce the number of road crossings from two to one and therefore the Applicant considers this arrangement safer. North Yorkshire Council, in their role as the Local Highway Authority and Local Planning Authority, were also consulted and had no objection to the Proposed Change. The repositioning of the crossing compared to the work currently authorised by the Order would be beyond the current lateral LoD of the authorised Work No. 09-10, which is 3 metres either side of the centreline.

The Proposed Change removes the need to cross the A66 westbound connector road (Work No. 09-8B) by moving the crossing point of the eastbound connector road (Work No. 09-8A) closer to the de-trunked A66.

Additionally, the Proposed Change also proposes the rationalisation of the eastern equestrian route between the de-trunked A66 and the connector road to simplify the

route and join at the same location as the western equestrian route, resulting in easier and safer wayfinding for equestrian users.

Pre-application engagement with relevant persons

National Highways has undertaken pre-application engagement on the proposed non-material changes with affected landowners, North Yorkshire Council (NYC) and through the Community Liaison Groups established in the consultation phase of the DCO application. Each Community Liaison Group includes local councillors from affected wards, parish councillors, community representatives, businesses, and residents.

Two online sessions were held with the Stephen Bank to Carkin Moor Community Liaison Group in August 2026, in partnership with NYC. The sessions provided attendees with an opportunity to view the proposed non-material changes and raise questions directly with the design team. Several questions were raised in relation to the Proposed Changes.

The British Horse Society (BHS) sought clarification regarding the width and surface treatment of the equestrian routes associated with Proposed Change 1. Although these matters were not directly related to the proposed change itself, an update was provided reflecting progress made through the detailed design process. The BHS also raised concerns regarding the safety of horses using the proposed roundabout and the separation between the verge and the equestrian track. A specific response addressing these matters will be provided to the BHS.

Following the engagement sessions, a further query was received via the A66 scheme inbox regarding how the mainline carriageway could be relocated northwards as part of Proposed Change 1. A response was provided confirming that the proposed amendment could be accommodated within the LoD.

In relation to Proposed Change 2, attendees sought clarification during the second session on how the proposed bridleway arrangements would provide an improvement in safety compared with the DCO-approved design. The BHS also raised a question regarding visibility at the equestrian crossing of the eastbound connector road. These matters were discussed during the session and responses were provided by the project team.

As part of the non-material change application process, National Highways, as the applicant, must consult on the proposed changes to provide an opportunity for interested parties to make representations about the non-material change application. Regulation 7(2) in the Infrastructure Planning (Changes to, and Revocation of, Development Consent Orders) Regulations 2011 requires the applicant to consult parties who have the benefit of the made order; parties who were notified of the application for the made order under section 56 of the PA2008; and any other party who may be directly affected by the changes proposed.

This letter is a request under regulation 7(3) of the Infrastructure Planning (Changes to, and Revocation of, Development Consent Orders) Regulations 2011. Regulation 7(3) states that an applicant need not consult a specified person or authority if they have obtained the written consent of the Secretary of State to exclude such person from the scope of the consultation on the non-material change application. The Regulation enables National Highways to seek your consent not to consult certain parties on the proposed non-material change application. Annex A sets out our reasons for consulting certain parties, and our reasons for seeking consent not to consult certain other parties. Annex B sets out who we propose to consult and who we propose not to consult.

National Highways intends to submit its application for a non-material change to the Order by early autumn 2026. We therefore respectfully ask that a decision is made in respect of this request for an exemption from consulting certain parties as identified in Annex B below on or before 18 September 2026 please.

Should you have any queries about this correspondence, the proposals or the consultation, please contact [redacted] at A66NTP@nationalhighways.co.uk.

Yours sincerely

[redacted]

[redacted]
Senior Project Manager for the A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Project

Annex A

National Highways undertook extensive pre-application consultation on the original DCO application. The pre-application engagement and consultation is set out in the consultation report submitted with the application for the scheme. Following the original application being accepted for examination, National Highways publicised the accepted application and notified the relevant prescribed bodies; local authorities; those with an interest in land and a number of other consultation bodies in line with the requirements of s56 of the Planning Act 2008. Overall, 235 relevant representations were submitted in relation to the original DCO application.

In response to the previously proposed non-material change application submitted during the DCO examination, the following interested parties made representations that addressed matters local to the currently proposed non-material change application. We are intending to include all of these interested parties in our consultation on this proposed Non-Material Change application. Those interested parties comprise:

- Proposed Change 1 (Collier Lane):
    - AWSM Farming Ltd
    - British Horse Society
    - British Horse Society North Yorkshire
    - Byways and Bridleways Trust
    - Elizabeth Constance Blacklidge
    - Jill Wales
    - Lindsay Russell
    - Michael John Blacklidge
    - Namulas Pension Trust
    - Phillip Lesby Davidson
    - Robin Russell
    - Sarah McDonald - PRoW officer from North Yorkshire Council
    - Suzanne Vaughan
    - W Austen Richardson Ltd, Mr J Richardson

- Proposed Change 2 (Mains Gill Junction Bridleway):
    - British Horse Society
    - British Horse Society North Yorkshire
    - Byways and Bridleways Trust
    - Henshaw Family
    - Lindsay Russell
    - Namulas Pension Trust
    - Robin Russell
    - Sarah McDonald - PRoW officer from North Yorkshire Council

The table below sets out the reasons why we will consult certain parties on the non-material change application (i.e. those parties with a ‘yes’ in the column headed ‘to be consulted on the proposed change application’ in the tables in Annex B) and why we

are proposing not to consult certain parties on the non-material change application (i.e. those parties with a 'no' in the column headed 'to be consulted on the proposed change application' in the tables in Annex B).

Reasons for consulting certain parties on the proposed non-material change application	**Reasons we are proposing <u>not</u> to consult certain parties on the proposed non-material change application**
Prescribed consultees who may have an interest in this proposed non-material change application.	Prescribed consultees we don't consider having an interest in this proposed non-material change application, as it is unlikely to be relevant to them. (Further information in the relevant paragraph below)
Relevant **statutory undertakers** who may have an interest in this proposed non-material change application.	Statutory undertakers we don't consider having an interest in this proposed non-material change application as it is unlikely to be relevant to them. (Further information in the relevant paragraph below)
Relevant **local authorities** who may have an interest in this proposed non-material change application.	Local authorities that are unlikely to have an interest in this proposed non-material change application as it is unlikely to be relevant to them. (Further information in the relevant paragraph below)
Those with **land interests** within proximity of the project who may have an interest in this proposed non-material change application.	
Other **interested parties** from the examination of the original DCO scheme who may have an interest in the proposed non-material change application.	
Parties having **benefit of the made DCO**	

In determining relevant **prescribed consultees** who may have an interest in this proposed non-material amendment to the Order, we have excluded those where their area of responsibility is not relevant to the nature of the Proposed Change either through being geographically too far removed from the Proposed Change to be directly affected by them, being not responsible for the area where the change is taking place or being not relevant given the nature and small scale of the proposed amendments. The same principle was adopted in respect of the non-material amendments submitted during the DCO examination in March 2023 and the First Non-Material Change

Application submitted to the Secretary of State on 10 December 2025 and approved on 15 April 2026.

In determining relevant **land interests, interested parties** and **statutory undertakers** who may have an interest in this proposed non-material change application, we have excluded those whose interest is greater than 250 metres from the DCO Limits of Deviation for Scheme 09. This is because those parties' interests are geographically too far removed from the proposed non-material amendments to be directly affected by them, given the small size and scale of the proposed amendments. The same principle was adopted in respect of the non-material amendments submitted during the DCO examination in March 2023 and the First Non-Material Change Application approved on 15 April 2026.

In determining the **local authorities** which should be consulted, it was noted that the Proposed Changes are located within the administrative boundary of North Yorkshire Council. Although the Proposed Changes are considered too inconsequential to be materially relevant to any other neighbouring council, the Applicant has chosen to consult Durham County Council (DCC) as a courtesy because Proposed Change 1 is near DCC's administrative boundary. Similarly, it is proposed to consult only those parish councils directly affected by or immediately adjacent to the Proposed Changes.

We have only included organisations and persons once in Annex B below, to avoid duplication across multiple tables, in the interests of clarity. The organisations and persons have been included in their most relevant table only.

Annex B

Prescribed Consultees

The following table lists those parties notified of the application for the made Order under section 56 of the PA2008 and explains whether it is proposed to consult or not to consult them on the non-material change application. The decision for each is based on the reasons given in Annex A.

Relevant Prescribed Consultees		Consulted on the original DCO application	To be consulted on the proposed change application
Consultee	**Organisation**	**Yes or No**	**Yes or No**
The Welsh Ministers	Not applicable.	No	No
The Scottish Executive	Not applicable.	No	No
The relevant Northern Ireland Department	Not applicable.	No	No
The Health and Safety Executive	HSE North West & Yorkshire & NE	Yes	Yes
The National Health Service Commissioning Board and the relevant clinical commissioning group.	North Cumbria CCG NHS Commissioning Board Authority	Yes	No
The National Health Service Commissioning Board and the relevant clinical commissioning group.	North Yorkshire CCG County Durham CCG	Yes	Yes
The relevant Health Board	Not applicable.	No	No
Natural England	Not applicable.	Yes	Yes
Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England	Historic England.	Yes	Yes
The relevant fire and rescue body	Fire and Rescue Authority Cumbria (NW)	Yes	No
The relevant fire and rescue body	Fire and Rescue Authority North Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority County Durham and Darlington	Yes	Yes

Relevant Prescribed Consultees		Consulted on the original DCO application	To be consulted on the proposed change application
Consultee	**Organisation**	**Yes or No**	**Yes or No**
The relevant police authority	Cumbria Police Cumbria Police and Crime Commissioner	Yes	No
The relevant police authority	North Yorkshire Police Traffic Officer Durham Police North Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner Durham Police and Crime Commissioner	Yes	Yes
The relevant parish council, or, where the application relates to Wales or Scotland, the relevant community council	Appleby-in-Westmorland Town Council Boldron Parish Council Bolton Parish Council Bowes Parish Council Brough Parish Council Brougham Parish Council Crackenthorpe Parish Council Dacre Parish Council Gilmonby Parish Council Helbeck Parish Council Kirkby Thore Parish Langwathby Parish Council Long Marton Civil Parish Middleton Tyas Parish Council Musgrave Parish Council Penrith Town Council Rokeby/ Brignall/ Eggleston Parish Council Temple Sowerby Parish Council Warcop Parish Council Yanwath and Eamont Bridge	Yes	No

Relevant Prescribed Consultees		Consulted on the original DCO application	To be consulted on the proposed change application
Consultee	**Organisation**	**Yes or No**	**Yes or No**
The relevant parish council, or, where the application relates to Wales or Scotland, the relevant community council	Dalton Parish Council East/West Layton and Carkin Parish Council Gilling with Hartforth and Sedbury Parish Council Hutton Magna Parish Council Ravensworth Parish Council	Yes	Yes
The Environment Agency	The Environment Agency	Yes	Yes
The Scottish Environment Protection Agency	Not applicable.	No	No
The Equality and Human Rights Commission	Not applicable.	Yes	Yes
The Scottish Human Rights Commission	Not applicable.	No	No
AONB Conservation Boards (now National Landscapes Association)	North Pennines AONB Board (now North Pennines National Landscape Board)	Yes	No
Royal Commission on Ancient and Historical Monuments of Wales	Not applicable.	No	No
The Natural Resource Body for Wales (NRW)	Not applicable.	No	No
The Homes and Communities Agency	Not applicable.	Yes	Yes
The Joint Nature Conservation Committee	Not applicable.	No	No
Scottish Natural Heritage	Not applicable.	No	No
The Maritime and Coastguard Agency	Not applicable.	No	No
The Marine Management Organisation	Not applicable.	No	No
The Scottish Fisheries Protection Agency (Marine Scotland)	Not applicable.	No	No
The Civil Aviation Authority	Not applicable.	No	No

Relevant Prescribed Consultees		Consulted on the original DCO application	To be consulted on the proposed change application
Consultee	**Organisation**	**Yes or No**	**Yes or No**
Secretary State for Transport	Not applicable.	Yes	Yes
Integrated Transport Authorities (ITAs) and Passenger Transport Executives (PTEs)	Transport for the North	Yes	No
The relevant Highways Authorities	Durham County Council North Yorkshire Council (formerly part of North Yorkshire County Council)	Yes	Yes
The relevant Highways Authorities	Cumberland Council (formerly part of Cumbria County Council) Westmorland and Furness Council (formerly part of Cumbria County Council)	Yes	No
The relevant strategic highways company	National Highways	Yes	No
Transport for London	Not applicable	No	No
The Passengers Council	Not applicable	Yes	Yes
The Disabled Persons Transport Advisory Committee	Not applicable	Yes	Yes
The Coal Authority	Not applicable	Yes	No
The Office of Rail Regulation and approved operators	Not applicable	No	No
The Gas and Electricity Markets Authority (OFGEM)	Not applicable	No	No
The Water Services Regulations Authority (OFWAT)	Not applicable	No	No
The Water Industry Commission of Scotland	Not applicable	No	No
The relevant waste regulation authority	Not applicable.	No	No
The relevant internal drainage board	Swale & Ure Drainage Board	Yes	Yes

Relevant Prescribed Consultees		Consulted on the original DCO application	To be consulted on the proposed change application
Consultee	**Organisation**	**Yes or No**	**Yes or No**
The Canal and River Trust	Not applicable.	Yes	Yes
Trinity House	Not applicable.	No	No
UK Health Security Agency (formerly known as Public Health England)	Not applicable.	Yes	Yes
The relevant local resilience forum	Cumbria Resilience Forum	Yes	No
The relevant local resilience forum	North Yorks LRF	Yes	Yes
The Crown Estate Commissioners	The Crown Estate Commissioners	Yes	No
The Forestry Commission	The Forestry Commission	Yes	No
The National Health Service Trusts	North East Ambulance Service Foundation Trust Yorkshire and the Humber Ambulance Trust	Yes	Yes
The National Health Service Trusts	Penrith Community Hospital North West Ambulance Trust	Yes	No
The Secretary of State for Defence	Not applicable.	Yes	Yes
The Office of Nuclear Regulation (the ONR)	Not applicable.	Yes	Yes

Relevant Statutory Undertakers, Utilities Companies, and Infrastructure Providers

Entity	Consult?
Electricity and Gas Suppliers	
British Gas Limited	No
Cadent Gas Limited	No
Eclipse Power Networks Ltd	No
Electricity North West Limited	No
Energy Assets Pipelines Ltd	No

Entity	Consult?
ESP Utilities Group Limited	No
GTC Infrastructure Limited	No
GTC Pipelines Limited	No
GTC Pipelines Limited	No
Harlaxton Energy Networks Ltd	No
Independent Power Networks Limited	No

Entity	Consult?
Indigo Pipelines Limited	No
Indigo Power Ltd	No
Last Mile Electricity Limited	No
Leep Electricity Networks Ltd	No
Leep Gas Networks Ltd	No
Mua Gas Limited	No
National Gas Transmission plc	No
National Grid Electricity Transmission plc	No
National Grid PLC	No
Northern Gas Networks Limited	No
Northern Power Grid (Northeast) plc	Yes
Northern Power Grid (Yorkshire) plc	Yes
Northern Powergrid Limited	No
Npower Commercial Gas Limited	No
Optimal Power Networks Ltd	No
Optimal Power Networks Ltd	No
Quadrant Pipelines Limited	No
RWE Renewables UK Swindon Limited	Yes
Scotland Gas Networks Plc	No
SSE plc	No
The Electricity Network Company Limited	No
UK Power Distribution Limited	No
Utility Assets Limited	No
Zayo Group UK Limited	No
Water Infrastructure	
Northumbrian Water Limited	No
United Utilities Group plc	No
United Utilities Water Limited	No
Yorkshire Water Limited	Yes
Telecommunications	
British Telecommunications Public Limited Company	No
Cornerstone Telecommunications Infrastructure Limited	No
EE Limited	No
Energis Communications Limited	No
Fulcrum Utility Services Limited	No
Instalcom UK Limited	No
Mastdata Limited	No
Mobile Broadband Network Limited	No
NRTS - Telent Technology Services Limited	No
Openreach Limited	Yes
Telefonica O2 UK Limited	No
Vattenfall Networks Ltd	No
Virgin Media Limited	No
Vodafone Limited	No
Other?	
Coal Authority	No
National Highways Historical Railways Estate	No
Network Rail Infrastructure Limited	No

Local Authorities	Consulted on the original DCO application	To be consulted on the proposed change application
Local Authority	**Yes or No**	**Yes or No**
Westmorland and Furness Council (formerly Eden District Council, South Lakeland District Council and Barrow Borough Council and part of Cumbria County)	Yes	No
Durham County Council	Yes	Yes
North Yorkshire Council (formerly North Yorkshire County Council, Craven District Council, Hambleton District Council, Harrogate Borough Council, Richmondshire District Council, Ryedale District Council, Scarborough Borough Council and Selby District Council)	Yes	Yes
Cumberland Council (formerly Allerdale Borough Council, Carlisle City Council and Copeland Borough Council and part of Cumbria County Council)	Yes	No
City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council (formerly Bradford Metropolitan District Council)	Yes	No
Darlington Borough Council	Yes	No
City of Doncaster Council (formerly Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council)	Yes	No
East Riding of Yorkshire	Yes	No
Gateshead Council	Yes	No
Hartlepool Borough Council	Yes	No
Lake District National Park Authority	Yes	No
Lancashire County Council	Yes	No
Lancaster City Council	Yes	No
Leeds City Council	Yes	No
Middlesborough Borough Council	Yes	No
North York Moors National Park Authority	Yes	No
Northumberland County Council	Yes	No
Northumberland National Park Authority	Yes	No
Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council	Yes	No
Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council	Yes	No
Sunderland City Council	Yes	No
Wakefield Metropolitan District Council	Yes	No
York City Council	Yes	No
Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority	Yes	No
Scottish Borders Council	Yes	No
Dumfries and Galloway Council	Yes	No

Individual land interest consultees and land interest organisations

The following table lists only those persons and organisations we intend to consult. We will consult all persons and organisations with land interests within a 250 metre radius of Scheme 09 of the DCO. For the reasons set in Annex A, it is not proposed to consult

individuals or organisations outside of this radius and we have not shown them in this table for this reason.

Entity	Consult?
Individual land interest consultees	
Andrew Colin Whitfield	Yes
Andrew Henshaw	Yes
Andrew Smith	Yes
Ann Nisbet	Yes
Annette Hinton-Clifton	Yes
Carole Le Duc Bennett	Yes
Charlotte Milbank	Yes
Christopher Andrew Slack	Yes
Christopher Harrison	Yes
Claire Carter	Yes
Claire Louise Bainbridge	Yes
Claire Louise Cullerton	Yes
David John Harrison	Yes
David Thomas Jennings	Yes
Diane Swainston	Yes
Edward Hinton-Clifton	Yes
Elizabeth Constance Blacklidge	Yes
Ellen Janette Wappat Harrison	Yes
Garth Roland Woodham	Yes
Gillian Emma Ibbotsons	Yes
Helen Clare Dexter	Yes
Jacqueline Frances Weighell	Yes
James Daniel Julius Burnett	Yes
James John Milbank	Yes
James Richmond	Yes
Jane Mary Gore-Booth	Yes
Jill Rosemary Wales	Yes
John Cullerton	Yes
John Peter Bainbridge	Yes
John Walker	Yes
John Weighell	Yes
Jonathan Charles Richmond	Yes
Josslyn Henry Robert Gore-Booth Bart	Yes
Judith Agnes Harrison	Yes
Judith Mabel Amy Astwood	Yes
Julie Elizabeth Watson	Yes
Kate Elizabeth Jennings	Yes
Katherine Mary Blacklidge	Yes
Katy Harcourt	Yes

Entity	Consult?
Kay Frances Greenhalgh	Yes
Lily Dorothy Westgarth	Yes
Lindsay Anne Russell	Yes
Malcolm Hugh Metcalfe	Yes
Margaret Carol Harrison	Yes
Margaret Cherry Metcalfe	Yes
Margaret Elaine Walker	Yes
Maria Jayne Henshaw	Yes
Mark Malachy Devlin	Yes
Martin George Nisbet	Yes
Matthew James Blacklidge	Yes
Michael Alexander Robinson	Yes
Michael James Ward	Yes
Michael John Blacklidge	Yes
Michelle Harrison	Yes
Michelle Julie Hammond	Yes
Neil Greenhalgh	Yes
Nicola Jayne Dalzell	Yes
Oliver Matthew Potts	Yes
Paul Metcalfe	Yes
Paul Robert Carey Morrison	Yes
Peregrine Hinton-Clifton	Yes
Peter Leslie Westgarth	Yes
Phillip Lesby Davidson	Yes
Phyllis Ena Robinson	Yes
Richard Charles Watson	Yes
Richard Collins	Yes
Richard Douglas Sharpe	Yes
Richard Pedro	Yes
Robin Gerald Russell	Yes
Roland Clarkson	Yes
Sally Hole	Yes
Samuel Harold Bainbridge	Yes
Sandra Diane Johnston	Yes
Stephanie Potts	Yes
Stephen George Hammond	Yes
Stephen William Harrison	Yes
Sujata Kundu	Yes
Susan Gloria Ward	Yes
Suzanne Vaughan	Yes
Tarn Bainbridge	Yes
The Estate of John Dale Trotter	Yes

disability confident COMMITTED

Entity	Consult?
The Executor of Lawrence Stanley Bainbridge	Yes
The Executor of Linda Margaret Richmond	Yes
The Occupier, Carkin Moor Farm, East Layton, Richmond, DL11 7PA	Yes
Timothy Adrian John Burnett	Yes
Timothy Peter Westgarth	Yes
Tracy Jane Whitfield	Yes
Trevor Dale Clarkson	Yes
Victoria Louise Slack	Yes
Land interest organisations	
Bank of Scotland plc	Yes
Barclays Security Trustee Limited	Yes
Clydesdale Bank plc	Yes
Durham County Council	Yes
Holmedale Valley Limited	Yes
HSBC UK Bank plc	Yes

Entity	Consult?
Leisure Parks Limited	Yes
Lloyds Bank plc	Yes
Middle Caves Limited	Yes
Namulas Pension Trustees Limited	Yes
National Westminster Bank plc	Yes
Northern Powergrid Limited	Yes
Openreach Limited	Yes
RWE Renewables UK Swindon Limited	Yes
The Agricultural Mortgage Corporation plc	Yes
The Royal Bank of Scotland plc	Yes
W. Austen Richardson Limited	Yes
Western Oaks LTD	Yes
Yorkshire Water Limited	Yes

Land interest organisations not being consulted

The following table lists those organisations we do not intend to consult that were previously consulted. The decision for this is based on the reasons given in Annex A. This table does not include individual land interests who we do not intend to consult.

Entity	Consult?
Land interest organisations	
Coal Authority	No
Confederation of Passenger Transport	No
County Durham and Darlington Fire and Rescue Service	No
Cumbria Fire & Rescue Service	No
Cumbria Prepared	No
Disabled persons transport advisory committee	No
Durham Constabulary	No
Equality and Human Rights Commission	No
Forestry Commission	No
Health and Safety Executive - Yorkshire and North East	No
Homes England	No

Entity	Consult?
Humber and North Yorkshire Health and Care Partnership	No
Hyslop & Atkinson Limited	No
National Highways Limited	No
NHS County Durham Clinical Commissioning Group	No
North Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Service	No
North Yorkshire Local Resilience Forum	No
Office for Nuclear Regulation	No
Royal Mail Group Limited	No
Swale & Ure Drainage Board - Yorkshire RFCC Area	No
The Crown Estate Commissioners	No
Transport for the North	No

Entity	Consult?
UK Health Security Agency	No
Vodafone Plant Enquiries	No

Other interested parties from the examination of the original DCO scheme who raised matters that are relevant to the proposed non-material change proposals

During the DCO examination, the below interested parties made representations that addressed matters local to the previously proposed non-material change application. We are intending to include these same parties in our consultation on this forthcoming Proposed Non-Material Change application.

Name
AWSM Farming Ltd
British Horse Society
British Horse Society North Yorkshire
Byways and Bridleways Trust
Elizabeth Constance Blacklidge
Henshaw Family
Jill Wales
Lindsay Russell
Michael John Blacklidge
Namulas Pension Trust
Phillip Lesby Davidson
Robin Russell
Sarah McDonald - PRoW officer from North Yorkshire Council
Suzanne Vaughan
W Austen Richardson Ltd, Mr J Richardson

Parties having benefit of the made DCO

National Highways Limited (company number 09346363) whose registered office is Three Snowhill, Snow Hill Queensway, Birmingham, B4 6GA is the only party having the benefit of the made DCO. As the applicant, it is not intended to consult National Highways on the Proposed Non-Material Change Application.